| Technical efficiency Environment ,society friendly   Image: Constraint of the second | Торіс | Торіс    |  |
|---|-------|----------|--|
|   |       | ,society |  |

## Low emission slurry spreading by application of slurry directly on the ground or beneath the sward of grass

## Background

The application of slurry to match to grassland needs means applying slurry at the right place and time to provide readily available nitrogen (i.e., ammonium nitrogen) to support gassland growth and increase the organic matter content of the soil. The targeted use of slurry can partly reduce the need for highly energy-intensive chemical fertilisers based on non-renewable inputs. Using **low-emission slurry spreading** equipment **(LESS)** is a way to reduce ammonia emissions, improve water quality, reduce odour and increase the environmental and economic efficiency of growing grass.





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement N° 101000770.



Score experts

## Annex



| Slurry application system comparison  |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
|   | Splash<br>plate                            | Trailing<br>hose   | Trailing<br>shoe  | Slurry<br>injector (shallow)  |  |  |  |
| Soil/Topography   | _  | Suits most ground<br>types (hilly and very<br>often wet) | Heavy clay soil and<br>works well in grass<br>longer than 8cm | Best of short sward,<br>not applicable to very<br>stony soil or very<br>shallow or compacted<br>soils |  |  |  |
| Grassland/arable crop   | -  | Grassland/<br>arable crops                               | Grassland and arable<br>land (preseeding) and<br>row crops    | Grassland/stubble,<br>growing crops   |  |  |  |
| Relative easy of use  |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Relative risk of grass sward damage   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Relative odour  |  |  |   | No odour  |  |  |  |
| Typical range of dry matter (DM)  | Up to 12%                                  | <9%  | <6%   | <6%   |  |  |  |
| Requires separation or chopping   | No   | Yes (if DM>6%)   | Yes   | Yes   |  |  |  |
| Relative work rate  |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Relative precision application  |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Relative suitability where field<br>slopes >15%   | ••   | •  | No  | No  |  |  |  |
| Relative sensitivity to stones  |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Relative runoff risk  |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| % increase grass yield<br>over splash plate system  | -  | 19%  | 21%   | 25-30%  |  |  |  |
| Ammonia reduction   | 0%   | 30-40%   | 50-70%  | ≈70%  |  |  |  |
| Necessery application of artificial<br>fertilizer (kg CAN*/ha) to reach<br>yield by slurry injector | 61.4 kg CAN                                | 30.6 kg CAN  | 16.3 kg CAN   | Grass yield ≈10,000   |  |  |  |
| Cost CAN* to reach yield by slurry<br>injector (€)  | 68 €/ha                                    | 34 €/ha  | 18 €/ha   | kg DM per hectare   |  |  |  |
| Capital costs   | 8  |  | 22  | 222   |  |  |  |
| (spreading width)<br>prices for 07/2023   | _  | 13,700 € (6m)<br>35,000 € (18m)                          | 15,000 € (6m)<br>51,000 € (18m)                               | 19,500 € (3m)<br>40,000 € (7,7m)  |  |  |  |
| Hose distance/row spacing   | -  | 25-30 cm   | 25 cm   | 18.75-21.50 cm  |  |  |  |
| Top producers in Europe   | Bomech, Joskin, Veenhuis, Vogelsang, Vredo |  |   |   |  |  |  |

Machinery images source and capital cost estimation: joskin.com

Prices include the equipment needed to connect to the slurry tanker

\*Calcium ammoniun nitrate (source of CAN cost and CAN requirement data: https://www.vredo.com)



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement N° 101000770.

